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ABSTRACT

The native status of the southern right whale in Peruvian waters is now firmly supported by six confirmed sightings, including four cow-calf pairs, the northernmost at Pucusana (12°29’S,76°48’W) and off San Bartolo (12°24’S,77°11’W). An unconfirmed report exist from northern Peru. Five of six observations were shore-based, underscoring the importance of neritic habitat for E. australis also in Pacific South America. As sighting intervals have shortened over a period of two decades (near significant trend, P = 0.054) without indication of improved reporting, a guarded optimism for the recovery of E. australis in Peru may be warranted. However, a near-collision event with a fishing boat warns of conservation challenges ahead. As applies to three other cetacean species, the coast of Peru is proposed as the most boreal habitual range for E. australis on the planet, enabled by the cooling effects of the strongest of eastern boundary currents.
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Sobre el estatus nativo de la ballena franca austral en el Perú. El estatus nativo de la ballena franca austral en aguas del Perú está firmemente apoyado por seis avistamientos confirmados, incluyendo cuatro pares de madre-cria. Los avistamientos más boreales se registraron en Pucusana (12°29’S,76°48’W) y frente a San Bartolo (12°24’S,77°11’W). Existe, además, un informe no confirmado para el norte de Perú. Cinco de las seis observaciones se hicieron desde la orilla, lo que subraya la importancia del hábitat nerítico de E. australis también en la costa del Pacífico de América del Sur. Se acortaron los intervalos entre observaciones durante un período de dos décadas (tendencia cerca de significancia, P = 0.054) sin evidencia de que el índice de reportes incrementó, por ende un cauto optimismo para la recuperación de E. australis en el Perú podría estar justificado. Sin embargo, un conato de colisión con un barco pesquero advierte de retos de conservación. Como aplica en tres otras especies de cetáceos, se propone que la costa del Perú es el área de distribución habitual de E. australis más norteño en la planeta, gracias al efecto de enfriamiento de la más fuerte de las corrientes de frontera oriental.
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In the 19th century large numbers of southern right whales Eubalaena australis (Desmoulins, 1822) were captured off the coast of Chile (Bolau, 1895; Harmer, 1928). A workshop organized by the International Whaling Commission (IWC) in 1983 that focussed on a global review of the species provisionally identified a ‘Chilean stock’ in the Southeast Pacific (IWC, 1986). However not until a second workshop in 1998 did the IWC (2001) recognize a ‘Chile/Peru’ stock. Since Robert Clarke’s (1965) pioneering paper published information on the spatial and temporal distribution and breeding of southern right whales in Chile has steadily increased (Aguayo, 1974; Aguayo...
and Torres, 1986; Cárdenas et al., 1987; Guerra et al. 1987; Canto et al., 1991; Aguayo et al., 1992; Gibbons et al., 2006). The latest comprehensive review for Chile identified 124 sightings for a total of 232 whales over the period 1976-2008 (Aguayo et al., 2008). Here we review the status and habitat of the species in Peru, include new sighting records and discuss its apparently expanding northern distribution range.1

The catalogue of whaling grounds by Lieutenant M.F. Maury (1851) charted right whales off northern Peru in two 5° latitude/longitude blocks, between 05°-15°S and 80-85°W, however the reliability of Maury’s charts has recently been questioned (Reeves et al., 2004). In 1952, León Kostritsky, chief of Peru’s former Division for Fisheries and Hunting, mentioned E. australis for southern Peru2, also in relation to whaling, but without indication of source. Clarke (1965) discussed at some length the whale’s possible occurrence and concluded that, based on whaling data, no definite records existed. According to Grimwood (1968) right whales may occasionally reach southern Peruvian waters but then also echoed Clarke’s conclusion. Major reviews have assumed that E. australis is absent from Peru, or failed to mention it (e.g. Cabrera, 1961; Hershkovitz, 1966; Cummings, 1985; Klinowska, 1991; Rice, 1998; Reilly et al., 2008; Kenney, 2009).

In fact, the occurrence of E. australis in Peru has been well-documented since a 1987 sighting. A first cow-calf pair was seen in 1996 (Van Waerebeek et al., 1992, 1998; Santillán et al., 2004). To date, evidence consists of six confirmed sightings (including a probable re-sighting) and one possible sighting (Table 1). No museum specimens are available in Peru. Five records were made incidentally from shore versus a single one out at sea, underscoring the value of land-based coastal surveys. Half of records (N° 2, 3, 4; see Figure 1) originated from relatively unpopulated stretches of coast and bays. Below we elaborate on new sightings, including a near-collision event with a vessel and we discuss distribution.

**Sighting N° 5**

The senior author incidentally spotted a cow-calf pair travelling north about 1 km SW from the observation platform at the Peruvian Centre for Cetacean Research (ca 26 m above sea level) in Pucusana, central Peru, on 30 July 2005. The whales were observed, aided by 10x50 binoculars, from 13:22-14:00 h under fair conditions including good visibility, sea state 2 (Beaufort) and moderate swell. Diagnostic external features of E. australis (massive body, lack of dorsal fin, callosities, black colouration, V-shaped blow) were repeatedly confirmed. The whales slowly travelled nearshore (<500 m) on a NNW course, following the general outline of the cliff-lined coastline. At 13:50 h, an industrial purse-seiner which headed towards the port of Pucusana approached from the south in what appeared to be a collision course with the whales, then at ca 800 m from the observer. The seiner without deviating nor slowing crossed the ‘footprints’ of the whales where they had submerged seconds earlier. When re-surfacing the large whale changed behaviour as if startled by the near-miss: it exposed much of its head and anterior body, markedly increased swim speed and changed course to NWW, in offshore direction. At 14:20 h, the observer boarded a 5 m outboard-powered artisanal fishing boat in an attempt to intercept and photo-identify the whales. At 16:25 h, however, a fruitless search was aborted due to low fuel, increasing swell and dwindling light.

**Sighting N° 6**

Photos of two whales sighted opportunistically in the vicinity of several humpback whales Megaptera novaeangliae off Punta Sal, Tumbes, northern Peru in late July or early August 2005 were provided for identification by Liesel Stahr-Arrarte to Gunnar Engblom (in email, 5 August 2005) who consulted one of us (KVW). The two photos were consistent with E. australis, however due to low resolution and great distance we concluded that only a tentative identification (‘like-southern right whale’) was possible. While the subequatorial location would be remarkable, an association between humpback and southern right whales is not unusual (see Goodall and Galeazzi, 1986). In Drake Passage3 at 61°33’S,31°29’W, KVW and Russell Leaper observed with a 2-minute interval two mixed groups of each a southern right whale and a humpback whale travelling side-by-side on 4 February 2003. Many more humpback whales and another four southern right whales were present in the same general area, all thought to be feeding on a massive krill swarm.

---

1 A preliminary draft of this paper (SC/60/BRG33) was presented to the IWC Scientific Committee at the 60th Annual Meeting in Santiago de Chile, June 2008.
2 [p.35] “…La mayor concentración existe entre 30° y 50° de latitud sur, pudiendo llegar algunos ejemplares hasta frente a la costa sur del Perú,” … “En el Perú, dicha especie por su número, es de menor interés utilitario”.
3 Observations were made from research vessel RRS James Clark Ross during the 2003 Scotia Sea Cruise.
Sighting N° 7

On 5 April 2007, at 17:46 h, an adult southern right whale was sighted by one of us (ES) 23 n.miles west off San Bartolo, central Peru (Table 1) at 500 m radial distance from the 3D-seismic survey vessel R/V Gulf Supplier. Conditions were favourable with good visibility, sea state 3 Beaufort and low swell (wave height <2 m). The species was positively identified from the whale’s V-shaped blow, very broad, flat *dorsum* without dorsal fin and black colour. The animal moved slowly in offshore (210°) direction while the vessel transited with silent air guns at a constant 3-4 knots. Between 3-30 April 2007 total sighting effort by ES was 229.12 hours in an area referred to as Block Z33 located off the Departamentos of Lima and Ica. This effort resulted in 62 cetacean sightings including this single observation of a southern right whale (SRW sighting rate= 0.0044 individuals/hour).

At 12°24.3’S, 50 km south of Callao (Lima), sighting N° 7 currently stands as the northernmost confirmed occurrence of *E. australis* in the eastern Pacific Ocean as well as worldwide. Although latitudinally equivalent to the SW Atlantic northernmost record at 12°58’S,38°29’W off Salvador da Bahia (Baracho et al., 2002), the typical northern distribution in Brazil extends from 18°S to 25°S (Lodi et al., 1996; Santos et al., 2001) implying that Salvador da Bahia is an unusual extralimitral record. In comparison, the six confirmed sightings in Peru (12°24’S-17°38’S; median = 15°47’S) ranged more boreal and have shifted northward over the past two decades (Figure 1). Cow-calf pair N° 5 skirted the coastline in NW direction when the near-collision incident caused the whales to flee offshore (west). Possibly the whales later resumed their original course and may have travelled way north of Pucusana. The latest sightings suggest that central Peru is not extralimitral for the Chile/Peru population. Moreover, they put Clarke’s (1965) notable premise ‘whether in a few cases southern right whales may take advantage of the cold Humboldt Current to move into very low latitudes in the region of Ecuador’ into a new perspective. The same cool neritic ecosystem found on Peru’s central coast extends north to about Cabo Blanco (04°15’S,81°14’W), Tumbes (Gunther, 1936; Brainard and McLain, 1987).

In comparison, in the eastern Atlantic Ocean, right whales may rarely penetrate equatorial waters. In 1951, a female right whale of 14.9 m (‘49 pieds’) was taken by the shore-based whaling operation off Cap Lópex, Gabon, at ca 01°S (Budker, 1952). IWC (1986) cautioned that this individual cannot be attributed to either Northern or Southern Hemisphere (SH) stocks. However, circumstantial evidence strongly suggests a southern right whale. Whaling effort off Gabon, targeting mainly SH humpback whales on their breeding grounds, occurred seasonally from June-November (Budker, 1954), coinciding with the period when *E. australis* is found in lower latitudes. Bahía de Cintra (23°N,16°14’W) and Bahía de Gorrei (22°50’N,16°20’W) in Western Sahara (see Reeves and Mitchell, 1990) constitute the least distant areas from Gabon for which evidence exists of the historical presence of the North Atlantic right whale *Eubalaena glacialis* (Müller, 1776). The shortest distance as a whale could swim between Bahía de Gorrei and Cap López is approximately 4590 km. It would need traverse a vast tropical region, including the entire Gulf of Guinea, for which there is no indication of either historical or present occurrence of right whales (e.g. Robineau and Vély, 1998; Bamy et al., 2000, 2009). In contrast, the linear distance between Cap López and Angola’s Baia dos Tigres (16°37’S,11°44’E), an important whaling ground for southern right whales in the late 18th and early 19th centuries (Best, 1981) is only about 1800 km (39% of 4590 km). Moreover, *E. australis* concentrations may have occurred north of Baia dos Tigres as at least one right whale was taken at Porto Alexandre (15°48’S,11°52’E) (Best and Ross, 1986). Roux et al. (2001) reported 36 incidental sightings of *E. australis* off the Namibian coast since 1971, and calving was recorded between 1996 and 1999. In recent years the northermost sightings in the SE Atlantic and the SW Indian Oceans are, respectively, near Kunene (Cunene) River mouth (17°16’S) at the Namibia/Angola border (Roux et al., 2001) and Antongil Bay (centered at 15°45’S,49°50’E), eastern Madagascar (Uyeda, 2007).

In Peru, chronologically successive records (Table 1) suggest a northbound tendency of the population’s range as if reflecting a gradual re-occupation of pre-exploitation haunts in the eastern South Pacific (Figure 1). Temporal intervals between sightings (9, 7, 2, 0, 2 years) have decreased over the past two decades, but due to the small number of confirmed sightings the trend is still uncertain (linear regression, R=0.87; F(1,3)= 9.518, P =0.054; 95% CI for the slope: -4.266, 0.066). It could be argued that nearshore dwelling whales may be detected more readily following a substantial increase in artisanal fishermen operating along the Peruvian coast (Estrella, 2007) and a concomitant growth of coastal communities. However, recent nearshore sightings of humpback whales observed by both fishermen’s families and a scientist (KVW) showed that whales typically elicit an ephemeral interest among locals and were not reported to port or other authorities.

Other southern right whale populations (Argentina, Australia and South Africa) have long shown increases of sightings with doubling of numbers per decade. The maximum 1-day count of only four whales in Chile (Aguayo, 1974; Aguayo et al., 1992) and two whales in Peru (this paper) is very low compared to counts of 15, 40, 155 and 256
(2 days) off, respectively, SE Australia, SW Australia, Argentina and South Africa even two decades ago (see Table 3 in IWC, 1986). With the lack of dedicated coastal surveys and barely a nascent reporting habit, current records probably underestimate the frequency of occurrence in Peruvian waters in winter and spring. Systematic shore-based and boat-based coastal surveys will be required to assess trends in abundance, photo-identify individuals, reveal habitat use as well as document anthropogenic threats (mainly: collisions, entanglements, disturbance). No strandings or fisheries interactions, but one near-collision event (this paper) are recorded in Peru, and another in Chile (Canto et al., 1991). The threat of ship strikes will require particular attention taken into account that *E. australis* was identified in the Southern Hemisphere as the cetacean suffering the highest mortality from collisions, with 56 cases reported until June 2007 (Van Waerebeek et al., 2007).

*E. australis* has evolved from apparently very rare (but perhaps unreported) to being a more regular visitor of southern and central Peru, mainly in July-December, supporting our premise that *E. australis* is a native mammal of Peru, albeit still unrecognised by some authors (see Reilly et al., 2008; Kenney, 2009). If the present pattern of regular sightings including cow-calf pairs and a widening range would consolidate in future years, a guarded optimism for the recovery of *E. australis* in Peruvian waters may be warranted.

As applies to three other Southern Hemisphere cetacean species adapted to cold-temperate waters, *i.e.* southern right whale dolphin *Lissodelphis peronii* (Lacépède, 1804), dusky dolphin *Lagenorhynchus obscurus* (Gray, 1828) and Burmeister’s porpoise *Phocoena spinipinnis* Burmeister, 1865, Peru’s coastal waters may comprise the northernmost habitual range of *E. australis* on the planet, enabled by the cooling effects of the Humboldt Current System, the strongest of eastern boundary currents (Penven et al., 2005).

### TABLE 1. Six confirmed sightings and one ‘probable’ sighting (N° 6) of *Eubalaena australis* in Peru, 1987-2007 (chronologically ordered). Records N° 5, 6 and 7 are newly reported.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>N°</th>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>POSITION</th>
<th>LOCATION</th>
<th>COMPOSITION</th>
<th>NOTES</th>
<th>SOURCE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>25 Nov 1987</td>
<td>near Ilo,</td>
<td>Ilo port,</td>
<td>2 individuals,</td>
<td>remained 3 days in vicinity before heading</td>
<td>Van Waerebeek et al. (1992)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Departamento de Moquegua</td>
<td>Departamento de Moquegua</td>
<td>estimated length 10-15m</td>
<td>south</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>7 Sept 1996</td>
<td>Atico,</td>
<td>Atico, Departamento de Arequipa</td>
<td>Cow-calf pair</td>
<td>remained in same area until 12 November (2 months)</td>
<td>Van Waerebeek et al. (1998)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Departamento de Arequipa</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>mid-Dec. 1996</td>
<td>La Planchada,</td>
<td>La Planchada, Departamento de Arequipa</td>
<td>Cow-calf pair</td>
<td>possible re-sighting of Atico pair (N°2) because of proximity of location</td>
<td>Van Waerebeek et al. (1998)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>26 August 2003</td>
<td>San Fernando Bay,</td>
<td>San Fernando Bay, Departamento de Lima</td>
<td>Cow-calf pair</td>
<td>reportedly left the bay at the end of September</td>
<td>Santillan et al. (2004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Departamento de Lima</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>30 July 2005</td>
<td>Pucusana,</td>
<td>Pucusana, Departamento de Lima</td>
<td>Cow-calf pair</td>
<td>moved NNW and then NW after near-collision incident (details see text)</td>
<td>Van Waerebeek, pers. observ.; this paper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Departamento de Lima</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>early August 2005</td>
<td>off Punta Sal,</td>
<td>off Punta Sal, Departamento de Tumbes</td>
<td>2 individuals side-by-side (one large)</td>
<td>unconfirmed sighting (‘like-southern right whales’) in the vicinity of several humpback whales</td>
<td>Photos (low-resolution) by L. Stahr-Arrarte examined by KVW; this paper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>5 April 2007</td>
<td>43km W of San Bartolo, Departamento de Lima</td>
<td>1 adult</td>
<td>observed from seismic vessel R/V Gulf Supplier</td>
<td>E. Suazo, pers. observ.; this paper</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

L. Stahr-Arrarte and G. Engblom (Kolibri Expeditions) are thanked for reporting Sighting N°6. We are grateful also to two anonymous reviewers for their constructive comments and to Robert Clarke and Obla Paliza (Pisco, Peru) for the critical reading of an earlier manuscript. Field research has benefited from long-term support by the International Fund for Animal Welfare. Van Waerebeek submitted a draft as SC/60/BRG33 to the IWC Scientific Committee meeting in 2008, while serving as head of the scientific delegation for Belgium’s Federal Public Service, Public health, Food Chain security and Environment, Brussels.

REFERENCES

AGUAYO, A.
AGUAYO-LOBO, A., CARDENAS, J.C. and TORRES, D.
AGUAYO-LOBO, A. and TORRES, D.

AGUAYO-LOBO, A., ACEVEDO, J., BRITO, J.L., OLAVARRÍA, C., MORAGA, R. and OLA VE, C.

BAMY, I.L., VAN WAEREBEEK, K., BAH, S.S., DIA, M., KABA, B., KEITA, N., KONATE, S. and TALL, H.

BARACHO, C. G., MÁS ROSA, S. and MARCOVALDI, E.

BEST, P.B.

BEST, P.B. and ROSS, G.J.B.

BOLAU, H.

BRAINARD, R.E. and MCLAIN, M.C.

BUDKER, P.

BUDKER, P.

CABRERA, A.

CANTO, J., RUIZ, P. and CÁRDENAS, J.C.

CÁRDENAS, J.C., TORRES, D., OPORTO, J. and STUTZIN, M.

CLARKE, R.
1965 Southern right whales off the coast of Chile. Norsk Hvalfangst-Tidende 54: 121-128.

CUMMINGS, W.C.

ESTRELLA, C.

GIBBONS, J., CAPELLA, J.J., KUSCH, A. and CARCAMO, J.
2006 The southern right whale *Eubalaena australis* (Desmoulins, 1822) in the Strait of Magellan, Chile. Anales Instituto Patagonia (Chile) 34: 75-80.

GOODALL R.N.P. and GALEAZZI, A.R.
1986 Recent sightings and strandings of southern right whale off Subantarctic South America and the

GRIMWOOD I.R.

GUERRA C., VAN WAEREBEEK, K., PORTFLITT, G. and LUNA, G.
1987 Presencia de cetáceos frente a la segunda región de Chile. Estudios Oceanológicos 6:87-96.

GUNThER, E.R.

HARMER, S.F.

HERSHKOVITZ, P.

INTERNATIONAL WHALING COMMISSION

INTERNATIONAL WHALING COMMISSION

KENNEY, R.D.

KLI NOWSKA, M.

KOSTRITSKY, L.

LODI, L., SICILIANO, S. and BELLINI, C.

MAURY, M.F.
1851 Whale Chart. National Observatory, Bureau of Ordnance and Hydrography, USA.

PENVEN, P., ECHEVIN, V., PASAPERA, J., COLAS, F. and TAM, J.

REEVES, R.R., JOSEPHSON, E. and SMITH, T.D.

REEVES, R.R. and MITCHELL, E.


RICE, D.W.

ROBINEAU, D. and VELY, M.

ROUX, J-P., BEST, P.B. and STANDER, P.E.
SANTILLÁN, L., ROCA, M., APAZA, M., ROSA DE OLIVEIRA, L. and ONTÓN, K.

SANTOS, M.C. DE OLIVEIRA, SICILIANO, S., PACHECO DE SOUZA, S. and ALTMAYER PIZZORNO, J.L.
2001 Occurrence of southern right whales (Eubalaena australis) along southeastern Brazil. The Journal of Cetacean Research and Management (special issue 2): 153-156.

UYEDD, C.

VAN WAEREBEEK K., REYES, J.C. and ARANDA, C.

VAN WAEREBEEK, K., REYES, J.C. and VAN BRESEM, M.F.

VAN WAEREBEEK, K., NDIAYE, E., DJIBA, A., DIALLO, M., MURPHY, P., JALLOW, A., CAMARA, A., NDIAYE, P. and TOUS, P.


VAN WAEREBEEK, K., OFORI-DANSON, P.K. and DEBRAH, J.

Contribución recibida. 18-may-2009
Contribución aceptada: 25-ago-2009